“Student voice is a fundamental characteristic of democratic education and change in teacher practice must be a collaborative effort involving students”

-Ferguson, Hanreddy, & Draxton, 2011

Did You Know

Current Classroom Assessment Practices Can Constrain Student Agency?

Traditional methods of classroom assessment may silence the voices of the ones most effected by evaluative results—the students. Tests, quizzes, and
Why It Matters

Authentic Student Agency Helps Foster A Productive and Equitable Learning Environment For All Students

Relying solely on standardized testing results in neglecting student voice, and provides an incomplete snapshot of the whole student (McMillan, 2003; Stiggins, 2001). In our over reliance on standardized tests, we neglect the intimate culture of the classroom to focus on a more formal standard of evaluation. Studies have shown that implementing student-led assessment practices is an effective strategy in raising classroom morale. Furthermore, students became more focused and
For Equity Now
Student-Led Conferencing Liberates Students Towards Achieving Authentic Agency and Academic Success

Shifting classroom assessment practices to a more formative, student-led

academically successful, so long as they feel supported (Tholander, 2009; Tuinstra & Hiatt-Michael, 2004) toward realizing their agency. As educators, we should move toward providing enriching learning experiences that engender equitable learning environments, cultivating students as active co-constructors in their learning. To do this, we need more comprehensive information than just a nominal score.

Authentic student agency in the classroom means providing opportunities for students to speak their truths and integrate the knowledge they already possess from lived experiences (Great Lakes Equity Center, 2015). This empowers students and solidifies active, meaningful participation toward realizing their own liberated voice and emancipatory potential in the classroom. In this way, students’ perspectives and opinions are not treated as cursory aspects of classroom function, but rather an integral and valued addition to a more democratic learning experiences (Ferguson, Hanreddy, & Draxton, 2011). Providing students the platform to have a role in classroom decisions and assessment is a favorable way to foster an environment where all students are encouraged, and motivated to learn and succeed (Ferguson, Hanreddy, & Draxton 2011) through self-critique and critical examination of the learning environment.

In addition to having a complete picture of each student and their academic needs and strengths, student-inclusive classroom assessments also use individual stories to shape the classroom in a constructive way; this leads the educator towards guiding relevant instruction (Stiggins, 2007). Student-inclusive classroom assessment influences the development of students self-perception about their abilities, improves their motivation to learn, and encourages the development of and sustainability of learning skills (Crooks, 1988).

If done strategically, a co-construction of the learning environment through formative assessment can instill a sense of onus in each student, both dignifying and establishing responsibility. Bron and Veugelers (2014) argue there are four elements of student involvement that are important to student agency: 1) space, or giving students an opportunity to express their views; 2) voice, or allowing students to facilitate; to express their views; 3) audience, where student views must be acknowledged; and 4) influence, where student views must be acted upon as appropriate. These strategies, woven into student-inclusive assessment, can serve as a good starting point towards students and teacher(s) collaboratively creating learning environments that center and value authentic student voice and allow students to claim their role as empowered actors in the teaching the learning process.
conferencing format can help to ensure all students have influence in classroom instruction and agency in their individual progress, moving towards a co-construction of the learning environment that respects students as active participants in the learning process.

Student-led conferencing on the basic level is a process that allows students to report their academic progress to their parents/caregivers, (Little & Allan, 1989) teachers, and peers, rather than the traditional method of parent/caregiver and teacher interaction, that often leaves the student in a passive position. Tholander (2009) gives two main student-centered arguments for this assessment method. First, he believes this democratic shift not only gives students agency, but also helps bridge the gap between school and home, as miscommunication can often occur through traditional parent-teacher conferencing. Additionally, this pedagogical switch suggests students' learning benefits by becoming more self-directed and responsible for their subsequent development (Tholander, 2009). Student-led conferencing lends itself to a more balanced assessment system that encourages students’ genuine understanding, which can in turn make summative assessment outcomes more meaningful (Garrison & Ehringhaus, 2007).

A way to begin the implementation of student-led conferencing is to develop a process for students to create portfolios of their work. By students being in charge of collecting their work over time, it not only shows a visual timeline of progression, but it encourages responsibility for upkeep, builds confidence, and exercises ownership of the learning experience (Le Countryman & Schroeder, 1996; Stiggins, 2001; Tholander, 2009).

Next, working with the students to develop an agenda of talking points, and coaching them is also a helpful step (Le Countryman & Schroeder, 1996). This will help quell the students’ nerves, and assists in providing practice for preparedness (Le Countryman & Schroeder, 1996).

Finally, it is important for teachers to not dominate the conversation, but position themselves as a facilitator demonstrating a respect of space, voice, and dignity for the student (Bron & Veugelers, 2014). Educators have the capacity to revolutionize classroom assessment in ways that better motivate students to reach their full potential (Stiggins, 2001). In truly advocating for and following through with student-led assessment, we can begin to mobilize emancipatory assessment and learning practices in the classroom for all students.
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**Disclaimer:**

*Great Lakes Equity Center is committed to the sharing of information regarding issues of equity in education.*

Reference in this newsletter to any specific publication, person, or idea is for the information and convenience of the public and does not necessarily reflect the views and opinions of Great Lakes Equity Center. The contents of this newsletter were developed under a grant from the U.S. Department of Education. However, these contents do not necessarily represent the policy of the Department of Education, and you should not assume endorsement by the Federal Government.
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